



HYDRAULIC VS FULL ELECTRIC

ENERGY CONSUMPTION COMPARISON

**ELECTRIC
ADVT2-750**



**HYDRAULIC
SYSTEM 4000-T660**



PRODUCT TESTED: Personal care bottle



Volume	Net weight	Gross weight	Cavities	Cycle time	Output
750 ml	42 g	53 g	2 x 7	10,5	4.800 bph

POWER ENERGY CONSUMPTION

ADVT2-750



SYSTEM 4000-T660



Plastic consumption	kg / h	255	255
Average consumption ratio	kW/kg	0,27	0,55
Electric consumption	kW/h	68	140
Electric. Power Savings.	kW/h	72	
	%	51%	

HYDRAULIC VS ELECTRIC
 MACHINE COOLING

ADVT2-750



SYSTEM 4000-T660



Average air consumption	dm ³ / min	3.000	4.400
Mould cooling capacity required	kJ/h	150.000	150.000
Mould water flow required	dm ³ / h	18.000	18.000
Machine cooling capacity required	kJ/h	53.300	104.000
Machine water flow required	dm ³ / h	2.550	5.000
Savings on cooling	kJ/h	50.700	
Power savings for cooling	kW/h	14,1	
	%	49%	

POWER ENERGY SAVING SUMMARY

ADVT2-750



Savings for machine cooling (Elect. Vs Hydraulic)	kW/h	14	
Savings for electric consumption(Elect. Vs Hydraulic)	kW/h	72	
Total saving with electric machine(Elect. Vs Hydraulic)	kW/h	86	
Electricity cost per kW/h	€	0,12	<i>Europe avg price</i>
Total saving per hour	€	10,32 €	
Total saving per year	€	63.984 €	<i>(6.500 hours / year)</i>

SYSTEM 4000-T660

ADVT2-750



Bottle shape control

High

Electric motions controlled by pc

Low

Hydraulic cylinder driven motions

Repeatability

High

Low

On-line remote service/maintenance

High performances

Electric movements provide signals to PLC

Low performances

Hydraulic system share few data with PLC

Failure predictive analysis

Good, in continuous improvement
 Monitoring motors overconsumption can lead
 to prevent failures and down times

Not present

Expected lifetime

Very long
 (no oil means less wear and tear)

Long

END

visit us at www.technespa.com